

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 19-25 are rejected for alleged non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-7, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,387,562 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,354,629. In response, Applicants have submitted a Terminal Disclaimer and, thus, believe that this rejection has been overcome.

Further, the Patent Office has objected to the specification for alleged informalities. In particular, the Patent Office alleges that the terms positive and negative terminals are not clearly understood. In response, Applicants respectfully refer the Patent Office to the specification, for example, beginning at page 9, line 15 to page 10, line 7. In this section of the specification, the terms positive and negative terminals are described in further detail. Thus, Applicants believe that the scope and meaning of these terms would be understood by one skilled in the art as further supported by the written description and drawings of Applicants' specification. Therefore, Applicants do not believe that amendments to the specification are necessary to address this objection, and thus, respectfully request that the objection be withdrawn in view of same.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY


Thomas C. Basso (46,541)
Cust. No. 29175

Dated: March 28, 2006